## MEA-DPS-016



Consultation:

Mid and East Antrim Borough Council Local Development Plan Draft Plan Strategy 2030

Representation:

Proposed Housing Allocation - Broughshane

Author:

Unique Reference Number: MEA-C10-7 Date Created: 11.12.2019 - 11:18am

Status: Submitted

**Date Submitted:** 11.12.2019 - 12:15pm

### Please indicate if you would like your representation to be dealt with by

Written (choose this procedure to have your representation considered in written form only)

# Cover Letter

Ferguson Planning represent

regarding housing land allocations in Broughshane

#### **Comments:**

### SGS 3 Strategic Allocation of Housing to Settlements

**Chapter or Policy:** 5. Spat a Growth Strategy and Countrys de Strate... » 5.3 Strateg c Hous ng A ocat on Strategy **Tests of Soundness:** Genera comment

We consider that the allocation afforded to Broughshane is under provided for. It is denoted as a small town second in the hierarchy outlined. It appears to have the lowest allocation and lower than some Tier 3 towns/villages.

There requires to be further investigation in relation to the housing number/allocations for Broughshane particularly given it is a place that both developers and people wish to live and thus stands a greater chance in delivering set housing targets by 2030.

The associated allocations and mapping again should be updated to provide clear indication of the physical locations and should consider urban expansion as part of this process. There is a logical expansion to the east of the town along Carnlough Road which merits investigation and which would enable appropriate housing and a rounding off of the town.

The 67 Units denoted is too low and below that of smaller settlements and thus we consider the allocation for Broughshane to be under represented. Our clients lands (extract provided) should be considered together with adjoining lands.

## Policy HOU13 Ribbon/Infill Development

Chapter or Policy: 8. Bu d ng Susta nab e Commun t es » 8.1 Hous ng

MEA-DPS-016

Tests of Soundness: Genera comment

It is considered that guidance provided within Policy HOU 13 conflicts with guidance contained within Policy CTY 8 of PPS 21. That guidance allows up to two units whereas the draft policy appears to be providing a restriction to a single unit. This requires further investigation/amendment.

**Documents Attached:** No

**Boundaries Captured on Map:** No

